HEINONLINE

Citation: 3 Bernard D. Reams Jr. & William H. Manz Federal Law A Legislative History of the Telecommunications of 1996 Pub. L. No. 104-104 110 Stat. 56 1996 the Communications Decency Act S8044 1997

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Wed Mar 20 15:42:40 2013

-- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License

-- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

"(4) DETERMINATION BY ATTORNEY GENERAL.—

"(A) DETERMINATION.—Not later than 90 days after receiving an application made under paragraph (1), the Attorney General shall issue a written determination with respect to the authorization for which a Bell operating company or its subsidiary or affiliate has applied. In making such determination, the Attorney General shall review the *whole record*.

"(B) APPROVAL.—The Attorney General shall approve the authorization requested in any application submitted under paragraph (1) only to the extent that the Attorney General finds that there is no substantial possibility that such company or its subsidiaries or its affiliates could use monopoly power in a telephone exchange or exchange access service market to impede competition in the interLATA telecommunications service market such company or its subsidiary or affiliate seeks to enter. The Attorney General shall deny the remainder of the requested authorization."

"(C) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after issuing a determination under paragraph (4), the Attorney General shall publish the determination in the Federal Register."

On page 91, line 1, after the word "Commission" add the words "or the Attorney General".

THURMOND (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 1265

Mr. THURMOND (for himself, Mr. D'AMATO, and Mr. DEWINE) proposed an amendment to amendment No. 1264 proposed by Mr. DORGAN to the bill S. 652, supra, as follows:

On page 82, line 23, strike "after" and all that follows through "services," on page 83, line 2, and insert in lieu thereof "to the extent approved by the Commission and the Attorney General of the United States,".

On page 88, line 17, insert "and the Attorney General" after "Commission".

On page 89, line 3, insert "and Attorney General", after "Commission".

On page 89, line 6, strike "shall" and insert "and the Attorney General shall each".

On page 89, line 9, strike "Before" and all that follows through page 89, line 15.

On page 89, line 16, insert "BY COMMISSION" after "APPROVAL".

On page 90, line 6, after "necessity", insert: "In making its determination whether the requested authorization is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, the Commission shall not consider the effect of such authorization on competition in any market for which authorization is sought."

On page 90, between lines 9 and 10, insert the following:

"(C) APPROVAL BY ATTORNEY GENERAL.—The Attorney General may only approve the authorization requested in an application submitted under paragraph (1) if the Attorney General finds that the effect of such authorization will not substantially lessen competition, or tend to create a monopoly in any line of commerce in any section of the country. The Attorney General may approve all or part of the request. If the Attorney General does not approve an application under this subparagraph, the Attorney General shall state the basis for the denial of the application.".

On page 90, line 12, strike "shall" and insert in lieu thereof "and the Attorney General shall each".

Page 90, line 17, insert "or the Attorney General" after "commission".

On page 90, line 19, insert "and the Attorney General" after "Commission".

On page 91, line 1, insert "or the Attorney General" before "for judicial review".

On page 99, line 15, strike out "Commission authorizes" and insert in lieu thereof "Commission and the Attorney General authorize".

On page 99, line 18, insert "and the Attorney General" after "Commission".

HOLLINGS (AND DASCHLE) AMENDMENT NO. 1266

Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself and Mr. DASCHLE) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 652, supra, as follows:

On page 53, after line 25, insert the following:

SEC. 107. COORDINATION FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK-LEVEL INTEROPERABILITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—To promote nondiscriminatory access to telecommunications networks by the broadest number of users and vendors of communications products and services through—

(1) coordinated telecommunications network planning and design by common carriers and other providers of telecommunications services, and

(2) interconnection of telecommunications networks, and of devices with such networks, to ensure the ability of users and information providers to seamlessly and transparently transmit and receive information between and across telecommunications networks,

the Commission may participate, in a manner consistent with its authority and practice prior to the date of enactment of this Act, in the development by appropriate voluntary industry standards-setting organizations to promote telecommunications network-level interoperability.

(b) DEFINITION OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK-LEVEL INTEROPERABILITY.—As used in this section, the term "telecommunications network-level interoperability" means the ability of 2 or more telecommunications networks to communicate and interact in concert with each other to exchange information without degeneration.

(c) COMMISSION'S AUTHORITY NOT LIMITED.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as limiting the existing authority of the Commission.

On page 66, line 13, strike the closing quotation marks and the second period.

On page 66, between lines 13 and 14, insert the following:

"(6) ACQUISITIONS; JOINT VENTURES; PARTNERSHIPS; JOINT USE OF FACILITIES.—

"(A) LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS.—No local exchange carrier or any affiliate of such carrier owned by, operated by, controlled by, or under common control with such carrier may purchase or otherwise acquire more than a 10 percent financial interest, or any management interest, in any cable operator providing cable service within the local exchange carrier's telephone service area.

"(B) CABLE OPERATORS.—No cable operator or affiliate of a cable operator that is owned by, operated by, controlled by, or under common ownership with such cable operator may purchase or otherwise acquire, directly or indirectly, more than a 10 percent financial interest, or any management interest, in any local exchange carrier providing telephone exchange service within such cable operator's franchise area.

"(C) JOINT VENTURE.—A local exchange carrier and a cable operator whose telephone service area and cable franchise area, respectively, are in the same market may not enter into any joint venture or partnership to provide video programming directly to subscribers or to provide telecommunications services within such market.

"(D) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of this paragraph, a local exchange carrier (with respect to a cable system located in its telephone service area) and a cable operator (with respect to the facilities of a local exchange carrier used to provide telephone exchange service in its cable franchise area) may obtain a controlling interest in, management interest in, or enter into a joint venture or partnership with such system or facilities to the extent that such system or facilities only serve incorporated or unincorporated—

"(i) places or territories that have fewer than 50,000 inhabitants; and

"(ii) are outside an urbanized area, as defined by the Bureau of the Census.

"(E) WAIVER.—The Commission may waive the restrictions of subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) only if the Commission determines that, because of the nature of the market served by the affected cable system or facilities used to provide telephone exchange service—

"(i) the incumbent cable operator or local exchange carrier would be subjected to undue economic distress by the enforcement of such provisions,

"(ii) the system or facilities would not be economically viable if such provisions were enforced, or

"(iii) the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

"(F) JOINT USE.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), a telecommunications carrier may obtain within such carrier's telephone service area, with the concurrence of the cable operator on the rates, terms, and conditions, the use of that portion of the transmission facilities of such a cable system extending from the last multiuser terminal to the premises of the end user in excess of the capacity that the cable operator uses to provide its own cable services. A cable operator that provides access to such portion of its transmission facilities to one telecommunications carrier shall provide nondiscriminatory access to such portion of its transmission facilities to any other telecommunications carrier requesting such access.

"(G) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this paragraph affects the authority of a local franchising authority (in the case of the purchase or acquisition of a cable operator, or a joint venture to provide cable service) or a State Commission (in the case of the acquisition of a local exchange carrier, or a joint venture to provide telephone exchange service) to approve or disapprove a purchase, acquisition, or joint venture.".

On page 70, line 7, strike "services." and insert "services provided by cable systems other than small cable systems, determined on a per-channel basis as of June 1, 1995, and redetermined, and adjusted if necessary, every 2 years thereafter.".

On page 70, line 21, strike "area." and insert "area, but only if the video programming services offered by the carrier in that area are comparable to the video programming services provided by the unaffiliated cable operator in that area.".

On page 79, before line 12, insert the following:

(3) LOCAL MARKETING AGREEMENT.—Nothing in this Act shall be construed to prohibit the continuation or renewal of any television local marketing agreement that is in effect on the date of enactment of this Act and that is in compliance with the Commission's regulations.

On page 88, line 4, strike "area," and insert "area or until 36 months have passed since the enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1995, whichever is earlier,".

HeinOnline -- 3 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act S8044 1997

On page 68, line 5, after "carrier" insert "that serves greater than 5 percent of the nation's presubscribed access lines".

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Armed Services be authorized to meet at 10 a.m. on Thursday, June 8, 1995, in open session, to receive testimony on the situation in Bosnia.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Thursday, June 8, 1995, to conduct a hearing on financial services trade negotiations.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Finance Committee be permitted to meet on Thursday, June 8, 1995, beginning at 9:30 a.m., in room SD-215, to conduct a hearing on the earned income tax credit [EITC], and on the nominations of John D. Hawke, Jr., Stephen G. Kellison, Marilyn Moon, Linda L. Robertson, and Ira Shapiro.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Relations be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Thursday, June 8, 1995, at 10:00 a.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Indian Affairs be authorized to meet on Thursday, June 8, 1995, beginning at 9:30 a.m., in room 485 of the Russell Senate Office Building on S. 436, a bill to improve the economic conditions and supply of housing in Native American communities by creating the Native American Financial Services Organization, and for other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Labor and Human Resources be authorized to meet for a hearing on S. 673, Youth Development Community Block Grant, during the session of the Senate on Thursday, June 8, 1995 at 9:30 a.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS' AFFAIRS

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, the Committee on Veterans' Affairs would like to request unanimous consent to hold a hearing on recent court decisions affecting Department of Veterans Affairs regulations regarding veterans' benefits. The hearing will be held on June 8, 1995, at 10:00 a.m., in room 418 of the Russell Senate Office Building.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FORESTS AND PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Subcommittee on Forests and Public Land Management of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources be granted permission to meet during the session of the Senate on Thursday, June 8, 1995, for purposes of conducting a subcommittee hearing which is scheduled to begin at 2:00 p.m. The purpose of this hearing is to review the Forest Service's reinvention proposal and the proposed national forest planning regulations.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Subcommittee on Immigration, of the Committee of the Judiciary, be authorized to hold a business meeting during the session of the Senate on Thursday, June 8, 1995, at 2:00 p.m., to consider S. 269.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

BUDGET SCOREKEEPING REPORT

• Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I hereby submit to the Senate the budget scorekeeping report prepared by the Congressional Budget Office under section 308(b) and in aid of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended. This report meets the requirements for Senate scorekeeping of section 5 of Senate Concurrent Resolution 32, the first concurrent resolution on the budget for 1986.

This report shows the effects of congressional action on the budget through June 7, 1995. The estimates of budget authority, outlays, and revenues, which are consistent with the technical and economic assumptions of the concurrent resolution on the budget (H. Con. Res. 218), show that current level spending is below the budget resolution by $5.6 billion in budget authority and $1.4 billion in outlays. Current level is $0.5 billion over the revenue floor in 1995 and below by $9.5 billion over the 5 years 1995–99. The current estimate of the deficit for purposes of calculating the maximum deficit amount is $238.0 billion, $3.1 billion below the maximum deficit amount for 1995 of $241.0 billion.

Since my last report, dated May 22, 1995, Congress cleared for the President's signature the 1995 emergency supplemental and rescissions bill (H.R. 1158). The President vetoed H.R. 1158; therefore, since my last report there has been no action that affects the current level of budget authority outlays or revenues.

The report follows:

U.S. CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,
Washington, DC, June 8, 1995.

Hon. PETE DOMENICI,
Chairman, Committee on the Budget, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The attached report for fiscal year 1995 shows the effects of Congressional action on the 1995 budget and is current through June 7, 1995. The estimates of budget authority, outlays and revenues are consistent with the technical and economic assumptions of the 1995 Concurrent Resolution on the Budget (H. Con. Res. 218). This report is submitted under Section 308(b) and in aid of Section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended, and meets the requirements of Senate scorekeeping of Section 5 of S. Con. Res. 32, the 1986 First Concurrent Resolution on the Budget.

Since my last report, dated May 22, 1995, Congress cleared for the President's signature the 1995 Emergency Supplementals and Rescissions bill (H.R. 1158). The President vetoed H.R. 1158; therefore, there has been no action to change the current level of budget authority, outlays or revenues.

Sincerely,

JUNE E. O'NEILL,
Director.

THE CURRENT LEVEL REPORT FOR THE U.S. SENATE, FISCAL YEAR 1995, 104TH CONGRESS, 1ST SESSION, AS OF CLOSE OF BUSINESS JUNE 7, 1995

[In billions of dollars]

	Budget resolution (H. Con. Res. 218)[1]	Current level[2]	Current level over/under resolution
ON-BUDGET			
Budget authority	1,238.7	1,233.1	−5.6
Outlays	1,217.6	1,216.2	−1.4
Revenues:			
1995	977.7	978.2	0.5
1995–99	5,415.2	5,405.7	−9.5
Deficit	241.0	238.0	−3.1
Debt subject to limit	4,965.1	4,814.7	−150.4
OFF-BUDGET			
Social Security Outlays:			
1995	287.6	287.5	−0.1
1995–99	1,562.6	1,562.6	(3)
Social Security Revenues:			
1995	360.5	360.3	−0.2
1995–99	1,958.4	1,958.2	−0.2

[1] Reflects revised allocation under section 9(g) of H. Con. Res. 64 for the Deficit-Neutral reserve fund.
[2] Current level represents the estimated revenue and direct spending effects of all legislation that Congress has enacted or sent to the President for his approval. In addition, full-year funding estimates under current law are included for entitlement and mandatory programs requiring annual appropriations even if the appropriations have not been made. The current level of debt subject to limit reflects the latest U.S. Treasury information on public debt transactions.
[3] Less than $50 million.

THE ON-BUDGET CURRENT LEVEL REPORT FOR THE U.S. SENATE, 104TH CONGRESS, 1ST SESSION, SENATE SUPPORTING DETAIL FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995 AS OF CLOSE OF BUSINESS JUNE 7, 1995

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority	Outlays	Revenues
ENACTED IN PREVIOUS SESSIONS			
Revenues			978,466
Permanents and other spending legislation	750,307	706,236	
Appropriation legislation	738,096	757,783	
Offsetting receipts	−250,027	−250,027	
Total previously enacted	1,238,376	1,213,992	978,466

HeinOnline -- 3 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act S8045 1997

HeinOnline -- 3 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act S8046 1997

Document No. 31

HeinOnline -- 3 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [lix] 1997

HeinOnline -- 3 Bernard D. Reams, Jr. & William H. Manz, Federal Telecommunications Law: A Legislative History of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) including the Communications Decency Act [lx] 1997